

Fixed Point Results for Single-valued Mappings on a Set with Two Metrics using a Dass Gupta-type Bilateral Contraction

Amber Saeed¹, Jawad Ahmed¹, Muqddas Shabbir¹ Muhammad Umair¹, Hossain MD Sabbir², Shimul Paul², Mohammad Arfan², Sheikh Muktaadirul Houque², Hossain Anowar²

¹International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan

²Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, China

Received: 15 Oct 2023,

Receive in revised form: 22 Nov 2023,

Accepted: 01 Dec 2023,

Available online: 08 Dec 2023

©2023 The Author(s). Published by AI
Publication. This is an open access article
under the CC BY license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords— *bilateral contractions, Dass Gupta-type bilateral contraction, fixed point results, a set with two metrics*

Abstract— *The purpose of this paper is to explore some new fixed point results using a bilateral contraction. The first thing we need to do is recall the work on fixed-point results that have been done in different research papers. By combining the results of two papers, the first was by Rus [12], which discussed different fixed point results on a set with two metrics, and the second was by Chen [4], which used bilateral contractions to prove different fixed point results. In this paper, we present new results for single-valued mappings on a single set with two metrics. In order to accomplish all of this, a bilateral contraction of the type used by Dass Gupta has been used.*

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In a variety of branches of mathematics, fixed point theory provides important aspects to solve problems. During the last five decades, fixed point theory has grown in popularity [1]. A metric space is a non-empty set with metric (or distance function) defined on it. There is much use of metric spaces in different fields and applications, so it is expanded in many ways [2] [3] [5] [9] [16]. In [6] Zhang and Huang explained cone metric spaces. They briefly explained Banach's fixed point theorem for such spaces. Banach's fixed point theorem explains the conditions for the uniqueness of fixed points.

Maia [10], in 1968 investigated the famous result of the Banach contraction principle using two metrics on a non-empty set. Iseki [7], in 1975 described a fixed point theorem in a metric space. Rus [12], in 1977 proved a fixed point theorem in a set containing two metrics. Singh and Pant [14],

in 1981 proved a fixed point theorem in two metrics. Kaneko and Sessa [8], in 1989, established an idea about a fixed point theorem for contractive single and multivalued mappings. Takahashi [13], in 1996, introduced a fixed point of the multivalued mappings in convex metric spaces. Muresan [11], in 2007, gave some results about the fixed point theorem of Maia and expressed how to use these results in the sets with two metrics. Joonaghany and Karapinar [4], in 2019, enhanced the composition by combining the execution of results of two bilateral contractions; which includes Dass Gupta-type bilateral contraction. Stinson, Almuthaybiri and Tisdell [15], in 2020, described a notation about the development of fixed point theorems in a set containing two metrics with the help of iterated method.

As we begin, we define a Dass Gupta-type bilateral contraction, which is cited in a well-known paper by Chen [4].

Definition 1. Let (S, ρ) be a non-empty set. The function $F : S \rightarrow S$ is called Dass Gupta-type bilateral contraction, if there is a $\phi : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all distinct $u, v \in S$

$$\rho(u, Fu) > 0$$

implies

$$\rho(Fu, Fv) \leq [\phi(u) - \phi(Fu)] \cdot \max \left\{ \rho(u, v), \frac{[1 + \rho(u, Fu)] \cdot \rho(v, Fv)}{1 + \rho(u, v)} \right\}$$

Firstly, suppose that $\max\{\rho(u, v), \rho(v, Fv)\} = \rho(u, v)$ then take a set with two metrics, and we make the new result, which is:

Theorem 1. Let S be a non-empty set. Suppose ρ_1 and ρ_2 be two metrics on S and $F : (S, \rho_1) \rightarrow (S, \rho_1)$ be a function. If there is a $\phi : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and for all $u, v \in S$

- (a) $\rho_1(Fu, Fv) \leq [\phi(u) - \phi(Fu)] \cdot \rho_2(u, v)$
- (b) (S, ρ_1) is a complete metric space
- (c) $F : (S, \rho_1) \rightarrow (S, \rho_1)$ is continuous
- (d) $\exists \mu \in (0, 1)$ we have $\rho_2(Fu, Fv) \leq \mu \cdot \rho_2(u, v)$

Then F has a unique fixed point.

Proof. We prove the theorem by the iterative method. For any $u \in S$, let

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= u \\ u_1 &= Fu_0 \\ u_2 &= Fu_1 \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ u_p &= Fu_{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

This implies that $\{u_p\}$ converges in S .

If $u_p = Fu_p$ then our theorem has been proved.

Suppose $u_p \neq Fu_p$. Then for any distinct $u_{p-1}, u_p \in S$, let $\tau_p = \rho_1(u_{p-1}, u_p)$ then by the given condition

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{p+1} &= \rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1}) \\ &= \rho_1(Fu_{p-1}, Fu_p) \\ &\leq [\phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(Fu_{p-1})] \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p) \\ &= [\phi(u_p - 1) - \phi(u_p)] \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p) \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_1(u_1, u_{p+1})}{\rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p)} &\leq \phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p) \\ 0 &< \frac{\rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1})}{\rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p)} \leq \phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p) \\ 0 &< \phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi(u_{p-1}) > \phi(u_p)$$

We conclude that the sequence $\{\phi(u_p)\}$ is not only strictly decreasing but also necessarily positive. So $\{\phi(u_p)\}$ converges to some limit $l \geq 0$.

Now for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\rho_1(u_i, u_{i+1})}{\rho_2(u_{i-1}, u_i)} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^p [\phi(u_{i-1}) - \phi(u_i)] \\ &\leq [\phi(u_0) - \phi(u_1)] + [\phi(u_1) - \phi(u_2)] + \dots + [\phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p)] \\ &\leq \phi(u_0) - \phi(u_1) + \phi(u_1) - \phi(u_2) + \phi(u_2) + \dots - \phi(u_{p-1}) + \phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p) \\ &\leq \phi(u_0) - \phi(u_p) \end{aligned}$$

If $p \rightarrow \infty$ then $\phi(u_p) \rightarrow l$

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\rho_1(u_i, u_{i+1})}{\rho_2(u_{i-1}, u_i)} \leq \phi(u_0) - l < \infty$$

In other words, we can say $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1})}{\rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p)}$ is a finite positive number.

By induction, $\frac{\rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1})}{\rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p)}$ is bounded in $(0, 1)$, then there exists some $\mu \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1})}{\rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p)} &\leq \mu \\ \rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1}) &\leq \mu \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p) \\ &\leq \mu^2 \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-2}, u_{p-1}) \\ &\leq \mu^3 \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-3}, u_{p-2}) \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\leq \mu^p \cdot \rho_2(u_0, u_1) \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p < q$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(u_p, u_q) &\leq [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_{q-1}) \\ &\leq [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-2}, u_{q-2}) \\ &\leq [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu^2 \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-3}, u_{q-3}) \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\leq [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu^{p-1} \cdot \rho_2(u_0, u_{q-p}) \end{aligned}$$

Since, $\phi(u_p)$ is strictly decreasing, then $[\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})]$ is very small and $\mu \in (0, 1)$ then we can conclude that $[\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu^{p-1} < \epsilon$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(u_p, u_q) &< \epsilon \cdot \rho_2(u_0, u_{q-p}) \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{u_p\}$ is the Cauchy sequence.

Since S is complete. By the continuity of $(S, \rho_1) \rightarrow (S, \rho_1)$, for any $u_0 \in S$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_0 &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} [F^p(u_0)] \\
&= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} [F \cdot F^{p-1}(u_0)] \\
&= F \left(\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} [F^{p-1}(u_0)] \right) \\
&= F(u_0)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $u_0 \in S$ is a fixed point of F .

Suppose $v_0 \in S$ is another fixed point of F , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_2(u_0, v_0) &= \rho(Fu_0, Fv_0) \\
&\leq \mu \cdot \rho_2(u_0, v_0) \\
(1 - \mu) \cdot \rho_2(u_0, v_0) &\leq 0 \\
\rho_2(u_0, v_0) &= 0 \\
u_0 &= v_0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, u_0 is a unique fixed point of F .

By applying some more conditions to the above theorem, we make a new result. More conditions were taken from the paper by Rus [12].

Theorem 2. Let S be a non-empty set. Suppose ρ_1 and ρ_2 be two metrics on S and $F, F_p : S \rightarrow S$ be the functions. If for all $u, v \in S$ such that

- $(S, \rho_1), (S, \rho_2)$ and F satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1
- The sequence F_p uniformly converges on (S, ρ_1) to F
- $\exists \lambda > 0$ we have $\rho_2(u, v) \leq \lambda \cdot \rho_1(u, v)$

Then for every $u_p \in S$, sequence $\{u_p\}$ converges to a unique fixed point u_0 of F .

Proof. We prove that every sequence $\{u_p\} \subseteq S$ converges to a unique fixed point $u_0 \in S$. Since for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$F^p(u_p) = u_p$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1(u_p, u_0) &= \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_0)) \\
&\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) + \rho_1(F^2(u_p), F^2(u_0)) \\
&\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) + [\phi(u_p) - \phi(Fu_p)] \cdot \rho_2(F^2(u_p), F^2(u_0)) \\
&\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) + [\phi(u_p) - \phi(Fu_p)] \mu \cdot \rho_2(u_p, u_0) \\
&\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) + [\phi(u_p) - \phi(Fu_p)] \mu \lambda \cdot \rho_1(u_p, u_0)
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi(u_p) - \phi(Fu_p)] \mu \lambda &< 1 \\
\Rightarrow [\phi(u_p) - \phi(Fu_p)] \mu \lambda &\rightarrow 0 \\
\Rightarrow [\phi(u_p) - \phi(Fu_p)] \mu \lambda &< \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\rho_1(u_p, u_0) \leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) + \epsilon \cdot \rho_1(u_p, u_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - \epsilon) \cdot \rho_1(u_p, u_0) &\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) \\
 \rho_1(u_p, u_0) &\leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} \cdot \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) \\
 &\leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} \cdot [\rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F \cdot F_p(u_p)) + \rho_1(F \cdot F_p(u_p), F^2(u_p))] \\
 &\leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} \cdot [\rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F \cdot F_p(u_p)) + \epsilon_1 \cdot \rho_1(F_p(u_p), F(u_p))]
 \end{aligned}$$

It is given that F_p uniformly converges to F in metric ρ_1 , then $\rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F \cdot F_p(u_p)) \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho_1(F_p(u_p), F(u_p)) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\rho_1(u_p, u_0) \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} \cdot [\rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F \cdot F_p(u_p)) + \epsilon_1 \cdot \rho_1(F_p(u_p), F(u_p))] \rightarrow 0$$

It means

$$\rho_1(u_p, u_0) \rightarrow 0$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, $\{u_p\}$ converges in (S, ρ_1) to a unique fixed point u_0 of F .

Now, suppose in the definition-1, if $\max\{\rho(u, v), \rho(v, Fv)\} = \frac{[1+\rho(u, Fu)] \cdot \rho(v, Fv)}{1+\rho(u, v)}$ then one more new result is generated.

Theorem 3 Let S be a non-empty set. Suppose ρ_1 and ρ_2 be two metrics on S and $F : (S, \rho_1) \rightarrow (S, \rho_1)$ be a function. If there is a $\phi : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and for all $u, v \in S$ such that

- (a) $\rho_1(Fu, Fv) \leq [\phi(u) - \phi(Fu)] \cdot \frac{[1+\rho_2(u, Fu)] \cdot \rho_2(v, Fv)}{1+\rho_2(u, v)}$
- (b) (S, ρ_1) is a complete metric space
- (c) $F : (S, \rho_1) \rightarrow (S, \rho_1)$ is continuous
- (d) $\exists \mu \in (0, 1)$ we have $\rho_2(Fu, Fv) \leq \mu \cdot \rho_2(u, v)$

Then F has a unique fixed point.

Proof. We prove the theorem by the iterative method. For any $u \in S$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_0 &= u \\
 u_1 &= Fu_0 \\
 u_2 &= Fu_1 \\
 &\dots \dots \dots \\
 &\dots \dots \dots \\
 &\dots \dots \dots \\
 u_p &= Fu_{p-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

This implies that $\{u_p\}$ converges in S .

If $u_p = Fu_p$ then our theorem has been proved.

Suppose $u_p \neq Fu_p$. Then for any distinct $u_{p-1}, u_p \in S$, let $\tau_p = \rho_1(u_{p-1}, u_p)$ then by the given condition

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_{p+1} &= \rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1}) \\
 &= \rho_1(Fu_{p-1}, Fu_p) \\
 &\leq [\phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(Fu_{p-1})] \cdot \frac{[1 + \rho_2(u_{p-1}, Fu_{p-1})] \cdot \rho_2(u_p, Fu_p)}{1 + \rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq [\phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p)] \cdot \frac{[1 + \rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p)] \cdot \rho_2(u_p, u_{p+1})}{1 + \rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p)} \\ &\leq [\phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p)] \cdot \rho_2(u_p, u_{p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1})}{\rho_2(u_p, u_{p+1})} &\leq \phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p) \\ 0 < \frac{\rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1})}{\rho_2(u_p, u_{p+1})} &\leq \phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p) \\ 0 < \phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p) & \\ \phi(u_{p-1}) > \phi(u_p) & \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the sequence $\{\phi(u_p)\}$ is not only strictly decreasing but also necessarily positive. So $\{\phi(u_p)\}$ converges to some limit $l \geq 0$.

Now for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\rho_1(u_i, u_{i+1})}{\rho_2(u_i, u_{i+1})} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^p [\phi(u_{i-1}) - \phi(u_i)] \\ &\leq [\phi(u_0) - \phi(u_1)] + [\phi(u_1) - \phi(u_2)] + \dots + [\phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p)] \\ &\leq \phi(u_0) - \phi(u_1) + \phi(u_1) - \phi(u_2) + \phi(u_2) + \dots - \phi(u_{p-1}) + \phi(u_{p-1}) - \phi(u_p) \\ &\leq \phi(u_0) - \phi(u_p) \end{aligned}$$

If $p \rightarrow \infty$ then $\phi(u_p) \rightarrow l$

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\rho_1(u_i, u_{i+1})}{\rho_2(u_i, u_{i+1})} \leq \phi(u_0) - l < \infty$$

In other words, we can say $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1})}{\rho_2(u_p, u_{p+1})}$ is a finite positive number.

By induction, $\frac{\rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1})}{\rho_2(u_p, u_{p+1})}$ is bounded in $(0, 1)$, then there exists some $\mu \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1})}{\rho_2(u_p, u_{p+1})} &\leq \mu \\ \rho_1(u_p, u_{p+1}) &\leq \mu \cdot \rho_2(u_p, u_{p+1}) \\ &\leq \mu^2 \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_p) \\ &\leq \mu^3 \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-2}, u_{p-1}) \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &\leq \mu^{p+1} \cdot \rho_2(u_0, u_1) \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p < q$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(u_p, u_q) &\leq [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_q)] \cdot \frac{[1 + \rho_2(u_p, u_q)] \cdot \rho_2(u_p, u_q)}{1 + \rho_2(u_p, u_q)} \\ &\leq [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \cdot \frac{[1 + \rho_2(u_p, u_{q+1})] \cdot \rho_2(u_p, u_{q+1})}{1 + \rho_2(u_p, u_q)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu \cdot \rho_2(u_p, u_{q+1}) \\
 &\leq [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu^2 \cdot \rho_2(u_{p-1}, u_q) \\
 &\quad \dots \dots \dots \\
 &\quad \dots \dots \dots \\
 &\quad \dots \dots \dots \\
 &\leq [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu^p \cdot \rho_2(u_1, u_{q-p+2}) \\
 &\leq [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu^{p+1} \cdot \rho_2(u_0, u_{q-p+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, $\phi(u_p)$ is strictly decreasing, then $[\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})]$ is very small and $\mu \in (0, 1)$ then we can conclude that $[\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu^{p+1} < \epsilon$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_1(u_p, u_q) &< \epsilon \cdot \rho_2(u_0, u_{q-p+1}) \\
 &< \epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{u_p\}$ is the Cauchy sequence.

Since S is complete. By the continuity of $(S, \rho_1) \rightarrow (S, \rho_1)$, for any $u_0 \in S$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_0 &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} [F^p(u_0)] \\
 &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} [F \cdot F^{p-1}(u_0)] \\
 &= F \left(\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} [F^{p-1}(u_0)] \right) \\
 &= F(u_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $u_0 \in S$ is a fixed point of F .

Suppose $v_0 \in S$ is another fixed point of F , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_2(u_0, v_0) &= \rho(Fu_0, Fv_0) \\
 &\leq \mu \cdot \rho_2(u_0, v_0) \\
 (1 - \mu) \cdot \rho_2(u_0, v_0) &\leq 0 \\
 \rho_2(u_0, v_0) &= 0 \\
 u_0 &= v_0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, u_0 is a unique fixed point of F .

Similarly, by applying some more conditions to the above result, we make a new result.

Theorem 4. Let S be a non-empty set. Suppose ρ_1 and ρ_2 be two metrics on S and $F, F_p : S \rightarrow S$ be the functions. If for all $u, v \in S$ such that

- (a) $(S, \rho_1), (S, \rho_2)$ and F satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 3
- (b) The sequence F_p uniformly converges on (S, ρ_1) to F
- (c) $\exists \lambda > 0$ we have $\rho_2(Fu, Fv) \leq \lambda \cdot \rho_1(u, v)$

Then for every $u_p \in S$, sequence $\{u_p\}$ converges to a unique fixed point u_0 of F .

Proof. We prove that every sequence $\{u_p\} \subseteq S$ converges to a unique fixed point $u_0 \in S$. Since for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$F^p(u_p) = u_p$$

Now,

$$\rho_1(u_p, u_0) = \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_0))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) + \rho_1(F^2(u_p), F^2(u_0)) \\ &\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) + [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \cdot \rho_2(F^2(u_p), F^2(u_0)) \\ &\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) + [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu \cdot \rho_2(Fu_p, Fu_0) \\ &\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) + [\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu \lambda \cdot \rho_1(u_p, u_0) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(u_p)$ is strictly decreasing then $[\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})]$ is very small and $\mu \in (0, 1)$ then we conclude that $[\phi(u_p) - \phi(u_{p+1})] \mu \lambda < \epsilon$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(u_p, u_0) &\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) + \epsilon \cdot \rho_1(u_p, u_0) \\ (1 - \epsilon) \cdot \rho_1(u_p, u_0) &\leq \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) \\ \rho_1(u_p, u_0) &\leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} \cdot \rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F^2(u_p)) \\ &\leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} \cdot [\rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F \cdot F_p(u_p)) + \rho_1(F \cdot F_p(u_p), F^2(u_p))] \\ &\leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} \cdot [\rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F \cdot F_p(u_p)) + \epsilon_1 \cdot \rho_1(F_p(u_p), F(u_p))] \end{aligned}$$

It is given that F_p uniformly converges to F in metric ρ_1 , then $\rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F \cdot F_p(u_p)) \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho_1(F_p(u_p), F(u_p)) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that

$$\rho_1(u_p, u_0) \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} \cdot [\rho_1(F_p^2(u_p), F \cdot F_p(u_p)) + \epsilon_1 \cdot \rho_1(F_p(u_p), F(u_p))] \rightarrow 0$$

It means

$$\rho_1(u_p, u_0) \rightarrow 0$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, $\{u_p\}$ converges in (S, ρ_1) to a unique fixed point u_0 of F .

By the above theorems, we proved the new fixed point results on a set with two metrics using the idea of a bilateral contraction. Now, we will take an example, which helps us to prove the inequalities, which we used in the above results and disprove the other contraction inequalities.

Example 1. Let $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$ endowed with the metric ρ_1 and ρ_2 defined for all $u, v \in S$

$$\rho_1(u, v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u = v \\ 1 & \text{if } u \neq v \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2(u, v) = |u - v|$$

Let $F : S \rightarrow S$ defined by

$$F(0) = 0, F(1) = 2 \text{ and } F(2) = 0$$

Define $\phi : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as

$$\phi(0) = 0, \phi(1) = 4 \text{ and } \phi(2) = 2$$

Prove that for all $u, v \in S$, F satisfies

$$\rho_1(Fu, Fv) \leq [\phi(u) - \phi(Fu)] \cdot \rho_2(u, v)$$

We prove for all $u, v \in S$

(i) For $(u, v) = (0, 0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(F0, F0) &\leq [\phi(0) - \phi(F0)] \cdot \rho_2(0, 0) \\ \rho_1(0, 0) &\leq [\phi(0) - \phi(0)] \cdot \rho_2(0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$0 \leq [4 - 2] \cdot |1 - 0|$$

$$1 \leq 2$$

(iii) For $(u, v) = (1, 1)$:

$$\rho_1(F1, F1) \leq [\phi(1) - \phi(F1)] \cdot \rho_2(1, 1)$$

$$\rho_1(2, 2) \leq [\phi(1) - \phi(2)] \cdot \rho_2(1, 1)$$

$$0 \leq [4 - 2] \cdot |1 - 1|$$

$$0 \leq 0$$

(iv) For $(u, v) = (1, 2)$:

$$\rho_1(F1, F2) \leq [\phi(1) - \phi(F1)] \cdot \rho_2(1, 2)$$

$$\rho_1(2, 0) \leq [\phi(1) - \phi(2)] \cdot \rho_2(1, 2)$$

$$1 \leq [4 - 2] \cdot |1 - 2|$$

$$1 \leq 2$$

(v) For $(u, v) = (2, 0)$:

$$\rho_1(F2, F0) \leq [\phi(2) - \phi(F2)] \cdot \rho_2(2, 0)$$

$$\rho_1(0, 0) \leq [\phi(2) - \phi(0)] \cdot \rho_2(2, 0)$$

$$0 \leq [2 - 0] \cdot |2 - 0|$$

$$0 \leq 4$$

(vi) For $(u, v) = (2, 2)$:

$$\rho_1(F2, F2) \leq [\phi(2) - \phi(F2)] \cdot \rho_2(2, 2)$$

$$\rho_1(0, 0) \leq [\phi(2) - \phi(0)] \cdot \rho_2(2, 2)$$

$$0 \leq [2 - 0] \cdot |2 - 2|$$

$$0 \leq 0$$

Hence, for all $, v \in S, F$ satisfied the given inequality.

Now, we check F doesn't satisfy other contraction inequalities. Suppose the contraction inequality on two metrics:

$$\rho_1(Fu, Fv) \leq \lambda \cdot \rho_2(u, v)$$

for some $\lambda > 0$.

For $(u, v) = (1, 2)$:

$$\rho_1(F1, F2) \leq \lambda \cdot \rho_2(1, 2)$$

$$1 \leq \lambda \cdot |1 - 2|$$

$$1 \leq \lambda \cdot |-1|$$

$$1 \leq \lambda$$

This is false for $0 < \lambda < 1$. So

$$1 \not\leq \lambda$$

Hence, F doesn't satisfy $\rho_1(Fu, Fv) \leq \lambda \cdot \rho_2(u, v)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Almezal, S., Ansari, Q. H., & Khamsi, M. A. (Eds.). (2014). Topics in fixed point theory (Vol. 5). Cham: Springer.
- [2] Alqahtani, O., & Karapinar, E. (2019). A bilateral contraction via simulation function. *Filomat*, 33(15), 4837-4843.
- [3] Chávez, E., Navarro, G., Baeza-Yates, R., & Marroquín, J. L. (2001). Searching in metric spaces. *ACM computing surveys (CSUR)*, 33(3), 273-321.
- [4] Chen, C. M., Joonaghany, G. H., Karapinar, E., & Khojasteh, F. (2019). On bilateral contractions. *Mathematics*, 7(6), 538.
- [5] Hjaltason, G. R., & Samet, H. (2003). Properties of embedding methods for similarity searching in metric

- spaces. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and machine intelligence*, 25(5), 530-549.
- [6] Huang, L. G., & Zhang, X. (2007). Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. *Journal of mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 332(2), 1468-1476.
- [7] Iseki, K. (1975). A common fixed point theorem. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*, 53, 13-14.
- [8] Kaneko, H., & Sessa, S. (1989). Fixed point theorems for compatible multi-valued and single-valued mappings. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 12(2), 257-262.
- [9] Kreyszig, E. (1978). *Introductory functional analysis with applications*. John Wiley & Sons Canada.
- [10] Maia, M. G. (1968). Un'osservazione sulle contrazioni metriche. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*, 40, 139-143.
- [11] MURESAN, A. S. (2007). From Maia fixed point theorem to the fixed point theory in a set with two metrics. *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, 133-140.
- [12] Rus, I. A. (1977). On a fixed point theorem in a set with two metrics. *Mathematica-Revue d'analyse numérique et de théorie de l'approximation. L'analyse numérique et la théorie de l'approximation*, 6(2), 197-201.
- [13] Shimizu, T., & Takahashi, W. (1996). Fixed points of multivalued mappings in certain convex metric spaces. *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, 8(1), 197-203.
- [14] Singh, S. P., & Pant, R. P. (1981). A common fixed point theorem in a metric space with two metrics. *Pure appl. Math. Sci*, 14(1-2), 35-37.
- [15] Stinson, C. P., Almuthaybiri, S. S., & Tisdell, C. C. (2019). A note regarding extensions of fixed point theorems involving two metrics via an analysis of iterated functions. *ANZIAM Journal*, 61, C15-C30.
- [16] Zezula, P., Amato, G., Dohnal, V., & Batko, M. (2006). *Similarity search: the metric space approach* (Vol. 32). Springer Science & Business Media.